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Abstract—Presently a very large number of public and private data sets are available around the local governments. In most cases, they are not semantically interoperable and a huge human effort is needed to create integrated ontologies and knowledge base for smart city. Smart City ontology are not yet standardized, and a lot of research work is needed to identify models that can easily support the data reconciliation and the management of the complexity. In this paper, a system for the ingestion of data for smart city related aspects as road graph, services available on the roads, traffic sensors etc., is proposed. The system allows to manage a big volume of data coming from a variety of sources considering both static and dynamic data, this data is then mapped to a smart-city and mobility ontology and stored into an RDF-Store where this data are available for applications via SPARQL queries to provide new services to the users. The paper presents the process adopted to produce the ontology and the knowledge base and the mechanisms adopted for the verification, reconciliation and validation. Some examples about the possible usage of the coherent knowledge base produced are also offered and are accessible from the RDF-Store.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Despite to the large work performed by Public Administrations, PAs, on producing open data they are not typically semantically interoperable and neither with the many private data. Open data coming from PA contains typically statistic information about the city (such as data on the population, accidents, flooding, votes, administrations, etc.), location of point of interests on the territory (including, museums, tourism attractions, restaurants, shops, hotels, etc.), major GOV services, ambient data, weather status and forecast, changes in traffic rules for maintenance interventions, etc. Moreover, a relevant role is covered by city private data coming from mobility and transport such as those created by Intelligent Transportation Systems, ITS, for bus management, and solutions for managing and controlling parking areas, car and bike sharing, car flow, delivering organizations, access to Restricted Traffic Zone, RTZ, etc. They can include real time data such as the traffic flow measure, position of vehicles (buses, car/bike sharing, taxi, garbage collectors, delivering services, etc.), railway and train status, park areas status, and Bluetooth tracking systems for monitoring movements of cellular phones, ambient and weather sensors, and TV cameras streams for security. Both PAs and mobility operators have large difficulties in elaborating and aggregating these data to provide new services, even if they could have a strong relevance in improving the citizens’ quality of life. Therefore, our cities are not so smart as they could be by exploiting a semantically interoperable knowledge base founded on these data. This condition is also present in highly active cities on open data publication such as Firenze, that is considered one of the top cities on Open Data.

Moreover, present smart city tools providers such as IBM, Thales, Cisco, Siemens, etc., offer solutions for creating a smart city integrated services, and adopt specific and proprietary knowledge models [1]. These proposed Smart City solutions are very expensive and limited to the implementation of specific business cases as ticketing, reduction of energy consumption, etc., and thus, they integrate only a very small number of data sets. An example can be the ontological model proposed by IBM connected to the Watson project

Several additional use scenarios could take advantage by the integration of the above mentioned public and private data. Moreover, most of the unexploited valuable data in the city are real time data such as: position of vehicles, position of people contributing with some app, etc. Therefore, the variability, complexity, variety, and size of these data make the data process of ingestion and exploitation a big data problem as addressed in [2], [3]. The variety and variability of data can be due to the presence of different formats, and to scarce (or non-existing) interoperability among semantics of the single fields and of the several data sets. In order to reduce the ingestion and integration cost, by optimizing services and exploiting integrated information, a better interoperability and integration among systems is required [1], [2]. This problem can be partially solved by using specific reconciliation processes to make these data interoperable with other ingested and harvested data. The velocity of data is related to the frequency of data update. In some cases, data are updated every minute or more (in this cases we can call them real time data with respect to the time of the city), while a large range of data may change once per year/month. When these data models are analyzed and then processed to become semantically interoperable, they can be used to create a common knowledge base that can be feed by corresponding data instances (with static, quasi-static and real time data). This process may lead to create a large interoperable knowledge base that can be used to make queries for producing suggestions as well as, predictions, deductions, in the navigation or in the service access and usage.

This scenario enables the creation of new services exploiting the accumulated knowledge for: delivering service predictions

1 http://researcher.watson.ibm.com/researcher/view_project.php?id=2505
and tuning, deducing and predicting critical conditions, towards different actors: public administrations, mobility operators, commercials and point of interests and citizens.

In this paper, the above mentioned complex process of knowledge base construction is described from: ontology creation to the data ingestion and knowledge base production and validation. The mentioned process also include, processes of data analysis for ontology modeling, data mining, formal verification of inconsistencies and incompleteness to perform data reconciliation and integration. Among the several process, the most critical aspects are related to the ontology construction that can enable deduction and reasoning, and on the verification and validation of the obtained model and knowledge base.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section II, the overview of the proposed ontology is present together with the main problems underlined its construction, and the main macro classes. Section III describes the details associated to each macroclass of the proposed smart city ontology and the integration with other vocabulary. In Section IV, the general architecture adopted for processing Open Data and the motivations that constrained its definition are reported. Section V presents the verification and validation process adopted to produce and verify the knowledge base. In the same section, two services are presented that allow to navigate in the knowledge and can be used by non-data engineers to inspect and navigate into the knowledge base. Conclusions are drawn in Section VI.

II. ONTOLOGY MAIN ELEMENTS

In order to create an ontology for Smart City services, a large number of data sets have been analyzed to see in detail each single data elements of each single data set with the aim of modeling and establishing the needed relationships among element, thus making a general data set semantically interoperable (e.g., associating the street names with toponymic coding, resolving ambiguities, ...). The work performed started from the data sets available in the Florence and Tuscany area. They are produced by several municipalities in Tuscany Region: Florence, Pisa, Lucca, Prato, Pistoia, etc., and the province of Florence, Prato, etc. In total the whole data sets are more than 800 data sets. At regional level, Tuscany Region also provided a set of open data into the MIIC (Mobility Integration Information Center of the Tuscany Region), and provide also integrated and detailed geographic information reporting each single street in Tuscany (about 137.745), and the location of a large part of civic numbers, for a total of 1.432.223 (a wider integration could be performed integrating also Google maps and Yellow/white pages). From the MIIC it is possible to recover information regarding streets, parkings, traffic flow, bus timeline, etc. While from Florence municipality real time data about the RTZ, tram lines on the maps, bus stops, bus tickets, accidents, ordinances and resolutions, numbers of arrivals in the city, number of vehicles per year, etc. From the other open data points of interest can be recovered as position and information related to: museums, monuments, theaters, libraries, banks, express couriers, police, firefighters, restaurants, pubs, bars, pharmacies, airports, schools, universities, sports facilities, hospitals, emergency rooms, doctors' offices, government offices, hotels and many other categories, including weather forecast by Lamma consortium (updated twice per day). In addition to these data sets, those coming from the mobility and transport operators have been collected as well.

The analysis of the above mentioned data sets allowed us to create an integrated ontological model presenting 6 main areas of macroclasses as depicted in Figure 1.

![Figure 1 - Ontology Macro-Classes and their relations](image)

**Administration**: includes the classes related to the structuring of the general public administrations, namely PA, and its specifications, Municipality, Province and Region; also includes the class Resolution, which represents the ordinance resolutions issued by each administration that may change the viability.

**Street-guide**: formed by entities as Road, Node, RoadElement, AdministrativeRoad, Milestone, StreetNumber, RoadLink, Junction, Entry, and EntryRule Maneuver, is used to represent the entire road system of region, including the permitted maneuvers and the rules of access to the limited traffic zones. The street model is very complex since it may model from single streets to areas, different kinds of crosses and superhighways, etc. In this case, OTN vocabulary has been exploited to model traffic [4] that is more or less a direct encoding of GDF (Geographic Data Files) in OWL. OTN includes the concepts expressed in the 5 main macro classes, attributes composites (where there are classes like TimeTable, Accident, House_Number_Range, Validity_Period, Maximum _Height_Allowed), relationships (in which we find the Maneuovere), transfer points (macroclass which includes classes such as Road, Road_Element, Building, and others), geometry (i.e., classes Edge and Face Node), and features (which contains classes such as Railways, Service, Road_and_Ferry_Feature, Public_Transport).

**Point of Interest**: includes all services, activities, which may be useful to the citizen and who may have the need to search for and to arrive at. The classification of individual services and activities is based on main and secondary categories planned at regional level. In addition, this macro segment of the ontology may take advantage of using Good Relation model of the commercial offers.²

* http://www.heppnetz.de/projects/goodrelations/

²
**Local public transport:** includes the data related to major TPL (Transport Public Local) companies scheduled times, the rail graph, and data relating to real time passage at bus stops. Therefore this macroclass is formed by classes TPLLine, Ride, Route, AVMRecord, RouteSection, BusStopForecast, Lot, BusStop, RouteLink, TPLJunction.

**Sensors:** macroclass concerns data from sensors: ambient, weather, traffic flow, pollution, etc. Currently, data collected by various sensors installed along some streets of Florence and surrounding areas, and those relating to free places in the main car parks of the region, have been integrated in the ontology. On this regards, there are many ontologies related to sensor networks, such as the SemanticSensorNetwork Ontology\(^3\), which provides descriptions for the description of sensors and their observations and FIPA Ontology which is more focused on the description of the devices and their properties both HW and SW aspects.

**Temporal:** macroclass that puts concepts related to time (time intervals and instants) into the ontology, so that associate a timeline to the events recorded and is possible to make forecasts. It may take advantage from time ontologies such as OWL-Time [5].

The ontology reuses the following vocabularies: dcterms: set of properties and classes maintained by the Dublin Core Metadata Initiative; foaf: dedicated to the description of the relations between people or groups; vCard: for a description of people and organizations; wgs84_pos: vocabulary representing latitude and longitude, with the WGS84 Datum, of geo-objects. Once the ontology is created, the single data instances can be harvested and included into the knowledge base. The instances, and in particular the museums, the streets, the points of interest, etc., may refer to VIP (Very Important Person) names that can be detected and related to some Open Data or LOD (such as dbPedia) to establish a connection with the definition of VIP name. This process can be performed with a simple NLP, algorithms [6].

### III. SMART-CITY ONTOLOGY DETAILS

#### A. Administration Macroclass

The main class of the Administration Macroclass is **PA**, which has been defined as a subclass of foaf:Organization, link that helps to assign a clear meaning to this class. The three subclasses of **PA** are automatically defined according to the restriction on some ObjectProperties: for example, the class **Region** is defined as a restriction of the class **PA** on ObjectProperty **hasProvince**, so that only the **PA** that possess provinces, can be classified as **Regions**. Another example: to define the PA elements that make up the class **Municipality**, a restriction on ObjectProperty **isPartOfProvince** was instead used, therefore, if a **PA** is not assigned to a province, it cannot be considered a municipality/city.

The class **PA** is connected to the **Resolution** class through the ObjectProperty **hasApproved**: each instance, of this last named class, are represented by the resolutions passed by the various PA, indeed. The ObjectProperty **hasApproved** has its inverse property, that is, **approvedBy**.

The last class in this macroclass is **StatisticalData**: given the large amount of statistical data related to both the various municipalities in the region, and to each street, that class is shared by both Administration and Street Guide macroclasses. As we will see in the next subsection, the class **StatisticalData** is connected to both classes **Pa** and **Road** through the ObjectProperty **hasStatistic**.

#### B. Street-guide Macroclass

The main class, in the middle of Street Guide macroclass, is **RoadElement**, which is defined as a subclass of the corresponding element in the OTN Ontology (see Figure 2), that is **Road_Element**. Each road element is delimited by a start node and an end node, detectable by the ObjectProperties **starts** and **ends**, which connect elements of the class in question to the class **Node**. Some restrictions have been specified in the class **RoadElement** definition, related to the class **Node**: a road element must have both **starts** and **ends** ObjectProperties, because by definition, a RoadElement cannot exist without a beginning or ending node.

![Figure 2 - The Street-guide Macro class](http://www.w3.org/2005/Incubator/ssn/ssnx/ssn)

One or more road elements are modeled by class **Road** which is defined as a subclass of the corresponding class in the OTN Ontology, i.e., the homonymous class **Road**, with a cardinality restriction on the ObjectProperty **contains**, since a road that does not contain at least one road element, cannot exist. Also the class **AdministrativeRoad**, which represents the administrative division of the roads, is connected to class **RoadElement** through two inverse ObjectProperties **isComposed** and **forming**, while it is connected with only one ObjectProperty, **coincideWith**, to the class **Road**. In order to better clarify the relationship that exists between classes **Road**, **AdministrativeRoad** and **RoadElement**: a Road's instance can be connected to multiple instances of class **AdministrativeRoad** (e.g., if a road crosses the border between two provinces), but the opposite is also true (e.g., when a road

\(^3\) [http://www.w3.org/2005/Incubator/ssn/ssnx/ssn](http://www.w3.org/2005/Incubator/ssn/ssnx/ssn)
crosses a provincial town center and it assumes different names), i.e., there is a N:M relationship between these two classes. On each road element, it is possible to define access restrictions, identified by the class EntryRule, which is connected to the class RoadElement through 2 inverse ObjectProperties, i.e., hasRule and accessTo. Access rules allow to define uniquely a permit or a limitation access, both on road elements (for example due to the presence of a restricted traffic area) as just seen, but also on maneuvers; for this reason, the class Maneuver and the class EntryRule are connected by the ObjectProperty isDescribed. The term maneuver refers primarily to mandatory turning maneuvers, priority or forbidden, which are described by indicating the order of road elements involving. By analyzing the data from the Florence’ street graph, it was verified that only in rare cases maneuvers involving three different road elements and then to represent the relationship between classes Maneuver and RoadElement, three ObjectProperties were defined: hasFirstElem, hasSecondElem and hasThirdElem, in addition to the ObjectProperty that binds a maneuver to the junction that is interested, that is, concerning (because a maneuver takes place always in proximity of a node).

As mentioned in the previous subsection, each road element is delimited by two nodes (or junctions), the starting one and the ending one. It was then defined class Node, subclass of the same name class OTN:Node, belonging to ontology OTN. The class Node has been defined with a restriction on DataProperty geo:lat and geo:long, two properties inherited from the definition of the class Node as subclass of geo:SpatialThing belonging to ontology Geo wgs84 [7]: in fact, each node can be associated with only one pair of coordinates in space, and cannot exist a node without these values.

The class Milestone represents the kilometer stones that are placed along the administrative roads, that is, the elements that identify the precise value of the mileage at that point, or the advanced of the route from the starting point. A milestone must be associated with a single instance of AdministrativeRoad, and it is therefore defined a cardinality restriction equal to 1, associated to the ObjectProperty placedIn. Also the class Milestone is defined as subclass of geo:SpatialThing, but this time the presence of coordinates is not mandatory.

The street number is used to define an address, and it is always logically related to at least one access, in fact every street number always corresponds to a single external access, which can be direct or indirect; sometimes it can also corresponds to an internal access. Looking at this relationship from the street number point of view, you can say that each of these is logically connected to at least one access. Were then defined the classes StreetNumber and Entry.

With the owned data, the connection of StreetNumber class to the class RoadElement and to the class Road, is possible respectively through the ObjectProperties standsIn and belongsTo. The relationship between the classes Entry and StreetNumber, is defined by two ObjectProperties, hasInternalAccess and hasExternalAccess, on which have been defined cardinality restrictions, since, as mentioned earlier, a street number will always have only one external access, but could also have an internal access. The class Entry is also defined as a subclass of geo:SpatialThing, and it is possible to associate a maximum of one pair of coordinates geo:lat and geo:long to each instance. The Street-guide macroclass is connected to the Administration macroclass through two different ObjectProperties, i.e. OwnerAuthority and managingAuthority, which as the name suggests, clearly represent respectively the public administration which owns an AdministrativeRoad, or public administration that manages a RoadElement.

From a cartographic point of view, however, each road element is not a straight line, but a broken line, which will follow the actual course of the road. To represent this situation, the classes RoadLink and Junction have been added: thanks to the processing of KMZ files, is possible to retrieve the set of coordinates that define each RoadElement, and each of these points will be added to the ontology as an instance of class Junction (defined as a subclass of geo:SpatialThing, with compulsory single pair of coordinates). Each small segment between two instances of Junction class is instead an instance of the class RoadLink, which is defined by a restriction on the ObjectProperties ending and starting, which connect the two mentioned classes. RoadLink and Juctions are additional 20 millions of triples.

C. Point of Interest Macroclass

In this macroclass, a generic class Service and some its subclasses identified by the value assigned to the ObjectProperty serviceCategory, inherited from the categories defined by the Tuscany Region, have been defined. We have also defined the DataProperty ATECOcode, i.e. the ISTAT code for classification of economic activities, which could be used in future as a filter to define the various services subclasses, in place of the categorie defined from Open Data portal of the Tuscan region, in order to make more precise research of the various types of services. The objectProperty serviceCategory associates to each service, an individual belonging to the class ServiceCategory (formed by exactly the individuals that have been found on the data of Tuscany Region). All areas of interest have not yet been defined, since we have not yet provided a list of all services that will be included, but for now in fact, relying on a small list of POI recovered from the site of the MIIC and from Open Data portal of the Tuscan region, the following classes have been identified: Accommodation, GovernmentOffice, TourismService, TransferService, CulturalActivity, FinancialService, Shopping, Healthcare, Education, Entertainment, Emergency and WineAndFood. The class Accommodation for example, was defined as a restriction of the class Service on the ObjectProperty serviceCategory, that must take one of the following values: villaggio_vacanze, albergo_hotel, casa_per_vacanze, casa_di_riposo, casa_per_ferie, bed_and_breakfast, hostel, residenza_turistica_alberghiera, farmhouse.

4 http://www.irenet.fi.eng.it/SigmaPortal2
5 http://dati.toscana.it/
Thanks to the class Service the macroclasses Point of Interest and Street guides can be connected by exploiting the ObjectProperty hasAccess, with which a service can be connected to only one external access, corresponding to the road and the street number of the service location. If this association is not possible (because of lack of information, missing street number, etc.), the connection between the same two macroclasses listed above, is realized through the ObjectProperty isln, that connects an instance of the class Service to an instance of the class Road. In order to use at least one of these two ObjectProperty to connect the macroclasses Point of Interest and Street Guides, an intense reconciliation phase is necessary, as described in section IV.

D. Local Public Transport Macroclass

Into the LPT macroclass (see Figure 3), each LPT lot, represented by the class Lot, is composed of a number of bus/tram lines (class TPLLine), and this relationship is represented by the ObjectProperty isPartOfLot, which connects each instance of TPLLine to the corresponding instance of Lot. The class TPLLine is defined as a subclass of OTN:Line, and each line includes at least two ride (the first in ascendant direction, and the second one in descendant direction), identified through a code provided by the LPT company; the class TPLLine was in fact connected to the class Ride through the ObjectProperty scheduledOn, which is also defined as a limitation of cardinality exactly equal to 1, because each stroke may be associated to a single line. Each ride follows exactly one path, and the paths can be in a variable number even if referring to a same line: in most cases are 2, as previously mentioned, but sometimes they become 3 or more, according to possible extensions of paths deviations or maybe rides performed only in specific times.

Applying the same type of modeling used for road elements, two ObjectProperty have been defined: endsAt and startsAt, which connect each instance of RouteSection to two instances of the class BusStop, class in turn defined as a subclass of OTN:StopPoint. Each stop is also connected to the class Lot through the ObjectProperty isPartOfLot, with a 1:N relation, because there are stops shared by urban and suburban lines so they belong to two different lots.

Possessing also the coordinates of each stop, the class BusStop was defined as a subclass of geo:SpatialThing, and was also termed a cardinality equal to 1 for the two DataProperty geo:lat and geo:long.

Wishing then to represent to a cartographic point of view the path of a bus, i.e., a Route instance, we need to represent the broken line that composes each stretch of road crossed by the means of transport itself and to do so, the previously used modeling has been reused to the road elements: we can see each path as a set of small segments, each of which delimited by two junctions: were then defined the classes RouteLink and TPLJunction, and the ObjectProperty beginsAt and finishesAt. The class Route is connected to the class RouteLink through isMadeUp ObjectProperty.

E. Sensors Macroclass

Sensors Macroclass has not yet been completed, but for now it consists of four parts respectively relating to the car parks sensors, to the weather sensors, to the sensors installed along roads/rails and to the AVM systems.

The first part is focused on the real-time data related to parking. The class CarParkSensor, in fact, is connected to the class CarParkSensor, which represents the sensor installed in a given parking and which will be linked to instances of the class SituationRecord, which represent the state of a certain parking at a certain instant; the first link, i.e. the one between the classes TransferService and CarParkSensor, is realized through two inverse ObjectProperty, observe and isObservedBy, while the connection between the classes CarParkSensor and SituationRecord, is performed via the reverse ObjectProperty, relatedTo and hasRecord. The class SituationRecord allows to store information about the number of free and occupied parking spaces, in a given moment (also recorded) for the main car parks in Tuscany Region.

The second part of the received real-time data, concerns the weather forecast, available for different areas (and thus connected to the respectively instance of class Municipality), thanks to LAMMA. This consortium will update each municipality report once or twice a day and every report

---

**Figure 3 - Local Public Transport Macroclass**

Each path is considered as consisting of a series of road segments delimited by subsequent stops: to model this situation, it was decided to define two ObjectProperty linking the classes Route and RouteSection, i.e. hasFirstSection and hasSection, since, from a cartographic point of view, wanting to represent the path that follows a certain bus; knowing the first segment and the stop of departure, it is possible to obtain all the other segments that make up the complete path and, starting from the second bus stop, that is identified as the different stop from the first stop, but that it is also contained in the first segment, we are able to reconstruct the exact sequence of the bus stops, and then the segments, which constitute the entire path. For this purpose also the ObjectProperty hasFirstStop has been defined, which connects the classes Route and BusStop.
contains forecast of five days divided into range, which have a
greater precision (and a higher number) for the nearest days
until you get to a single daily forecast for the 4th and 5th day.
This situation is in fact represented by the class
WeatherReport connected to the class WeatherPrediction via
the ObjectProperty isComposedOf. The class Municipality is
instead connected to a report by two reverse ObjectProperty:
RefersTo and has.

The third part of the real-time data concerns the sensors placed
along the roads of the region, which allow to make different
detection related to traffic situation. Unfortunately, the
location of these sensors is not very precise, it is not possible
to place them in a unique point thanks to coordinate, but only
to place them within a toponym, which for long-distance roads
such as FI- PI-LI road, it represents a range of many miles.
Sensors are divided into groups, each group is represented by
the class SensorSiteTable and each instance of the class
SensorSite (that represent a single sensor) is connects to its
group through the ObjectProperty forms and, as mentioned
earlier, each instance of the class SensorSite can be connected
only to the class Road (through the ObjectProperty
installedOn) (see Figure 4). Each sensor produces observations,
which are represented by instance of class Observation and these observations can belong to four types,
i.e., they can be related to the average velocity (TrafficSpeed subclass), or related to the car flow passing in front of the sensor (TrafficFlow subclass), related to traffic concentration (TrafficConcentration subclass), and finally related to the traffic density (TrafficHeadway subclass). The classes Observation and Sensor are connected via a pair of reverse ObjectProperty, hasProduced and measuredBy.
The last part of RealTime macroclass concerns the AVM
(Authomatic Vehicle Monitoring) systems installed on most of
ATAF busses, and it is mainly represented by two classes,
AVMRecord and BusStopForecast: the first class mentioned
represents a record sent by the AVM system, in which, as well
as information on the last stop done (represented by the
ObjectProperty lastStop that connects the class AVMRecord to
BusStop), GPS coordinates of the vehicle position, and the
identifiers of vehicle and line, we also find a list of upcoming
stops with the planned passage time; this list have a variable
length and it represents instances of the class
BusStopForecast. This latter class is linked to the class
BusStop through atThe ObjectProperty so as to be able to
recover the list of possible lines provided on a certain stop (the
class AVMRecord is in fact also connected to the class Line via
the ObjectProperty concern).

F. Temporal Macroclass
Finally, the last macroclass, called Temporal Macroclass, is
now only "sketchy" within the ontology, and it is based on the
Time ontology [5] as it has been used into OSIM ontology [8].
It requires the integration of the concept of time as it will be of
paramount importance to be able to calculate differences
between time instants, and the Time ontology comes to help us
in this task. We define fictitious URI #instantAVM,
#instantWReport, #instantWreport, #instantObserv to follow associate them to the identifier
URI of a resource referred to the time parameter, i.e.
respectively BusStopForecast, AVMRecord, SituationRecord,
WheatherReport and finally Observation. The fictitious URI
#instant<category>, will be formed as concatenation of two
strings: for example, in the case of BusStopForecast instances,
it will be concatenate the stop code string (which allows us to
uniquely identify them) and the time instant in the most
appropriate format. Is necessary to create a fictitious URI that
links a time instant to each resource, to not create ambiguity,
because identical time instants associated with different
resources may be present (although the format in which a time
instant is expressed has a fine scale). Time Ontology is used to
define precise moments as temporal information, and to use
them as extreme for intervals and durations definition, a
feature very useful to increase expressiveness.

Pairs of ObjectProperties have also been defined for each class
that needs to be connected to the class Instant: between classes
Instant and SituationRecord were defined the inverse
ObjectProperties instantParking and observationTime,
between classes WeatherReport and Instant, the
ObjectProperties instantWReport and updateTime have been
defined; between classes Observation and Time there are the
reverse ObjectProperties measuredTime and instantObserv,
between BusStopForecast and Time we can find
hasExpectedTime and instantForecast ObjectProperties, and finally, between AVMRecord and Time, there are the reverse
ObjectProperties hasLastStopTime and instantAVM.
The domain of all ObjectProperties with instant<category>
name is defined by elements Time:temporalEntity, so as to be
able to expand the defined properties not only to time instant,
but also to time intervals.

IV. DATA ENGINEERING ARCHITECTURE
In this section, the description of the data engineering
architecture is proposed in Figure 5. From the Figure, it is
clear that the entire process can be divided into four phases:
Data Ingestions, knowledge Mapping, and interoperable
knowledge Validation and Access / exploitation from
services. The set of ingestion processes is managed by a
Process Scheduler that allocates these processes, as well as
those of the next phase of mapping on a parallel and
distributed architecture composed by several servers. To

Figure 4 - Sensors Macroclass (a portion)
allow the regular update of ingested data the scheduler regularly retrieves data and check for updates. The ingested data are transcoded and then mapped in the DISIT Ontology for Smart City. After that, they are made available to applications on an RDF Store (OWLIM-SE) using a SPARQL Endpoint. Applications can use the geo-referenced data to provide advanced services to the service citizens, such as the present solution for knowledge base browsing via Linked Open Data (http://log.disit.org) and the Service Map (http://servicemap.disit.org), described in the following.

**Figure 5 - Architecture Overview**

A. Data Ingestion

For the data ingestion, the problems are related to the management of the several format and of the several data sets that may find allocation on different segments and areas of the Smart City Ontology. The solution has to allow ingesting and harvesting a wide range of public and private data, coming as static, semi-static and real time data as mentioned in the previous sections. For the case of Florence area, we are addressing about 150 different sources of the 564 available.

**Static and semi-static data** include points of interests, geo-referenced services, maps, accidents statistic, etc. This information is typically accessible as public files in several formats, such as: SHP, KML, CVS, ZIP, XML, etc. The most cases, the static and semi-static data sources are ingested using specific data transformation processes (one for each data source). Each Open Data ingestion process retrieves information and produce records in a noSQL Hbase for bigdata [9], logging all the information acquired to trace back and versioning the data ingestion. Data are then completed, other columns are updated dynamically with other process steps, and finally data obtained are placed on an HBase table.

**Real time data** includes data coming from sensors (e.g., parking, weather conditions, pollution measures, busses, etc.) that are typically acquired from Web Services as well as more static data as road graph description, etc. For example ingestion of data relating to traffic sensors consists of a Job that invoke the web service via HTTP Post, retrieve the XML data and extract the data fields as measurementSiteReference, measurementTimeDefault, concentration, occupancy, vehicleFlow, averageDistanceHeadway, averageVehicleSpeed, measurementSiteTableReference, supplierIdentification and publicationTime. In most cases, the real-time data are directly pushed in the mapping process to feed the temporary SQL store. They are typically streamed into the traditional SQL store and then converted into triples in the RDF final store.

In almost all cases, each single data set is ingested by means of a different ETL process defined by using Pentaho Kettle formalism [10]. Among the several solutions we decided to adopt this formalism and tool since it is quite diffused and general enough. When the Kettle language presented limitation, external processes in Java have been adopted.

B. Data Mapping

The Mapping Phase deals with the transport of information, previously saved into HBase database, into an RDF datastore, in our case managed by Owlim-SE [11]. The first part of this procedure retrieves information from HBase to put them on a temporary MySQL database (required to use the Data Integration tool chosen), while in the second part data are translated into triples. Transformation is needed to map the traditional structured into RDF triples, based on information contained in a well-defined ontology (DISIT Ontology for Smart City) and all ontologies reused (dcterms, foaf, vCard, etc.). This process may be performed ad-hoc programs that have to take into account the mapping from linear model to RDF structures. This two steps process allowed us to test and validate several different solutions for mapping traditional information into RDF triples and ontology. The ontological model has been several times updated and thus the full RDF storage has been regenerated from scratch reloading the definition (all the other vocabularies, selecting the testing
several different solutions) and the instance triples according to the new model under test. Once the model has been generated, triples can be automatically inserted. The first essential step is to specify semantic types of the data set, i.e., it is necessary to establish the relationship between the columns of the SQL tables and properties of ontology classes. The second step consists in defining the Object Properties among the classes, or the relationships between the classes of the ontology. When dataset has 2 columns that have the same semantic type but which correspond to different entities, thus multiple instances of the same class have to be defined, associate each column to the correct one. The process responsible to perform the mapping transformation, passing from Hbase to SQL database has been produced as a corresponding ETL Kettle associated with each specific ingestion procedure for each data set. The second phase, of performing the mapping from SQL to RDF, has been realized by using a mapping model. Thus Karma Data Integration tool [12] was selected, which generates a R2RML model, representing the mapping for transport from MySQL to RDF and then it is uploaded in a OWLIM-SE RDF Store instance [11]. Karma initialization phase involves loading the primary reference ontology and connecting dataset containing the data to be mapped. This process allowed the production of the knowledge base that may present a large set of problems due to inconsistencies and incompleteness that may be due to lack of relationships among different data sets, etc. For example to join services with the road map using the street address names that are written in different ways (e.g., “Via XXVII Aprile” and “VIA VENTITETTE APRILE”) producing ‘owl:sameAs’ triples to link them. These problems may lead to the impossibility of making deductions and reasoning on the knowledge base, and thus on reducing the effectiveness of the model constructed. These problems have to be solved by using a validation phase via specific tools and the support of human supervisors. The validation process is performed by defining a set of SPARQL queries that verify the knowledge base conditions with the aim of detecting inconsistencies and incompleteness, and verifying the correct status of the model. These queries have to be periodically executed in order to perform a regression testing every time a new update of data process ingestion is performed. So that, processes for ingestion and mapping have to be connected to validation processes that have to be re-executed. The validation process may lead to identify changes in the ingested data sets that may implies to apply changes into the ontological model or in the above mentioned processes. So that, an iterative and workflow process is defined.

C. Data Reconciliation

To connect services to the Street Guide in the repository a reconciliation phase in more steps, has been required, because the notation used by the Tuscany region in some Open Data within the Street Guide, does not always coincide with those used inside Open Data relating to different points of interest. In substance, different public administration are publishing Open Data that are not semantically interoperable. Furthermore, there are different types of inconsistencies within the various integrated dataset, such as:

- typos;
- missing street number, or replacement with values "0" or "N";
- Municipalities with no official name (e.g. Vicchio/Vicchio del Mugello);
- street names with strange characters ( - / , * ? , Ang., .);
- street numbers with strange characters ( - / , * ? , Ang., , , ,);
- road name with words in a different order from the usual (e.g. Via Petrarca Francesco, exchange of name and surname);
- red street numbers (in some cities, street numbers may have a color. So that a street may have 4/Black and 4/Red, red is the numbering system for shops);
- presence/absence of proper names in road name (e.g. via Camillo Benso di Cavour /via Cavour);
- number wrongly written (e.g. 34/AB, 403D, 36INT.1);
- Roman numerals in the road name (e.g., via Papa Giovanni XXIII).

Thanks to how the ontology was created, is possible to perform services reconciliation at street number level, i.e. connecting an instance of class Service to an external access that uniquely identifies a street number on a road, or only at street-level, with less precision (lack that can be compensated thanks to geolocation of the service).

The methodology used in this reconciliation phase consists of:

1. First try to connect each service at street number-level, and then, perform the reconciliation at street-level. The first reconciliation step performed consists of an exact search of the street name associated to each service integrated. For example, to reconcile the service located at "VIA DELLA VIGNA NUOVA 40/R-42/R, FIRENZE", a SPARQL query is necessary, to search for all elements of Road class connected to the municipality of "FIRENZE" (via the ObjectProperty inMunicipalityOf), which have a name that exactly corresponds to "VIA DELLA VIGNA NUOVA" (checking both fields: official name, alternative name). The query results has to be filtered again, imposing that an instance of StreetNumber class exists and it corresponds to civic number "40" or "42", with the R class code Red.

2. From this first reconciliation step, the services for which was identified a single instance of the class Entry has been selected, and the related reconciliation triples at street number-level, have been created.

A very frequent problem for exact search, is the existence of multiple ways to express toponym qualifiers, that is dug (e.g. Piazza and P.zza) or parts of the proper name of the street (such as Santa, or S. or S or S.ta): thanks to support tables, inside which the possible change of notation for each individual case identified are inserted, a second reconciliation step was performed, based on exact search of the street name, which has allowed to increase the number of reconciled services at street number-level.

The third reconciliation step is based on the research of the last word inside the field r:Street-Address of each instance of
the *Service* class, because, statistically, for a high percentage of street names, this word is the key to uniquely identify a match.

These first three reconciliation steps have been also carried out without taking into account the street number, and so in order to obtain a reconciliation at street-level of each individual service.

The fourth reconciliation step is realizing thanks to Google Geocoding API, through which different services, not yet connected to the *Street Guide* macroclass at street-number level, were searched again.

The next reconciliation step used automated methods to remove strange characters, inside the street number field, or the address field, but unfortunately at this point it is becoming increasingly difficult to obtain unique results in the search for correspondences between instances of the class *Entry* and instances of the class *Service*.

The last reconciliation step implemented, trying to reconcile all those services in which the name of the town is incorrectly used or it is expressed in a not official notation; even in this case it is difficult to get great results from every single reconciliation step.

At present, all services that present typos, street number equal to "0" or to string "SNC", still need to be managed; moreover services with strange char in the street name, are partially managed.

As a summary, the whole knowledge base created at the first day has been of more than 81 Millions, when it grows of 2.5 Millions per month. A part of them can be discharged when statistical values are estimated and punctual value discharged. For the validation, a total amount of services/points of interest inserted into the repository has been of 30182 instances. Among these, 13185 have been reconciled at street number-level, while the number of elements reconciled at street-level has been 21207. There are also 149 services associated to a coordinate pair, for which reconciliation did not return any results, yet for the lack of references into the knowledge base (some streets and civic numbers are still missing or incomplete). Table 1 shows a summary of the results obtained in all the reconciliation steps performed. The first two columns help to identify the reconciliation step to which data relates, among those described above. After the first step, a large number of triples have been created, i.e. 5627 triples that have *hasAccess* predicate, and 8329 triples that have *isIn* predicate. To clarify, each step was performed only on services that did not get result in previous steps. In the second step, other 1698 *hasAccess* triples and 6971 *isIn* triples were generated, thanks to the support table. The last word search leds to the creation of 5160 new *hasAccess* triples and 5415 new *isIn* triples; unfortunately in this step, during the reconciliation at street-level, some services (421 to be more precise) have produced duplicate results, thus generating 934 *isIn* triples (also included into the 5627). Thanks to Google Geocoding API services reconciliated at street number-level and 492 services reconciliated at street-level. Other 43 *hasAccess* triple were included in the data store after eliminating strange char into street number field; clearly this step of reconciliation had no effect on the total number of *isIn* triples. The last two steps of reconciliation have helped to create a hundred new triple for each type considered.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. Step</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>No. hasAccess Triple created</th>
<th>No. isIn Triple created</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st Step</td>
<td>Exact Search</td>
<td>5,627</td>
<td>8,329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Step</td>
<td>Exact Search</td>
<td>1,698</td>
<td>6,971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd Step</td>
<td>Last Word Search</td>
<td>5,160</td>
<td>5,415 (duplicate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th Step</td>
<td>Google Geocoding API</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th Step</td>
<td>Street number with strange char</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6th Step</td>
<td>Street name with strange char</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7th Step</td>
<td>Wrong municipality name</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Reconciliated Services</td>
<td>13,185</td>
<td>21,207</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 - Reconciliation results

V. VERIFICATION AND VALIDATION

In order to validate the ingestion performed a set of SPARQL queries were used. During validation there were cases like the Weather forecast where no connection among the data were present due to different encoding of the name of the municipality, for this reason to support the reconciliation process a table containing the ISTAT code of each municipality was created, and each time new weather data are available, they are automatically completed with the correct ISTAT code, thus supporting the search for the instance of the PA class to which connect the weather forecasts. Another reconciliation activity was done to associate the name of the street of a service (e.g., museum, pharmacy, hotel) with the corresponding street on the road graph, in this case, first the street number is deleted from the string of the service street address and than a SPARQL query is performed to search for such road and for its municipality within the graph.

For all those cases where the street names are written in a different way, a query must be carried out to identify the street names more close to that to be found. It is therefore necessary to manually select the corresponding value and then create a new instance of the road class, which will have as code name, the street name written in that particular way; that instance will also be connected with a triple owl: sameAs to the toponym code of the instance that was manually selected.

The system has been used to ingest the data coming from the Municipality of Florence, the Tuscany Region and MIIC. Considering only files related to the daily weather forecast of all the available municipalities, we have 286 files updated twice a day, each of which, containing also 16 lines of weather prediction for the week, we obtain an increase of approximately 270,000 HBase lines per month that, in terms of triples, corresponds to a monthly increase of about 4 million triples.

Moreover, in order to explore the data being ingested and their relationships a tool for data visualization and exploration was used, that allows exploring the semantic graph of the relations among the entities (see Figure 6), this Linked Open Graph is
available for applications developers to explore and understand better the data available in the ontology.

A second tool called ServiceMap to perform geographic queries (for example to get points of interests close to a bus station, to get the street number close to a give point on the map, etc.) has been realized (http://servicemap.disit.org see Figure 7). The service map, for example, allows to (i) get bus stops and from them to access at the status line of the bus, providing the time to wait for the next bus, (ii) finding parking and getting in real time the number of empty places, etc. From each pin, it is possible to pass from the entity identified to its related aspects as road graph, services to the users.
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